

Page 2 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for an Order (I) Granting a Waiver of the Requirements of Local Rule 1007-2(a), (b), and (c), and (11) Granting an Extension of Time to File Lists Required by Bankruptcy Rule 1007. Hearing re: Debtors' Motion for Joint Administration. Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) Prepare a List of Creditors in Lieu of Submitting a Formatted Mailing Matrix and (B) File a Consolidated List of the Debtor's 50 Largest Unsecured Creditors and (11) Approving the Form and Manner of Notifying Creditors of Commencement of These Chapter 11 Cases. Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order Extending Deadline for Debtors to File Their Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Statements of Financial Affairs. Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order Establishing Certain Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures and Omnibus Hearing Dates. Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders Authorizing the Debtors to Continue Using the Debtors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 3 1 Bank Accounts, Business Forms, and Cash Management System, 2 and Granting Related Relief. 3 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 4 5 Orders (I) Authorizing, but not Directing, Payment Of 6 Prepetition Wages, Salaries, Business Expenses, Employee 7 Benefits and Related Items, and (11) Directing All Financial 8 Institutions to Honor Checks for Payment of Such 9 Obligations. 10 11 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 12 Orders Authorizing Payment of Income Taxes, Property Taxes, 13 Sales and Use Taxes and Hungarian Taxes. 14 15 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 16 Orders Authorizing the Debtors to Pay Prepetition Claims of 17 Critical Vendors and Foreign Vendors 18 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 19 20 Orders Authorizing, but not Directing, The Debtors to (A) 21 Continue Insurance Coverage Entered Into Prepetition, (B) 22 Renew or Purchase New Insurance Policies in the Ordinary 23 Course of Business, and (C) Pay all Prepetition Obligations 24 Relating. 25

Page 4 1 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order (A) 2 Prohibiting Utility Companies from Discontinuing, Altering, 3 or Refusing Service, (B) Deeming Utility Companies to have Adequate Assurance of Payment, And (C) Establishing 4 5 Procedures for Resolving Requests for Additional Assurance. 6 7 Hearing re: Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 8 Orders Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. \$5 105,361,362,363 and 364 and 9 Rules 2002, 4001, and 9014 of the Federal Rules of 10 Bankruptcy Procedure (I) Authorizing Incurrence by the 11 Debtors of Postpetition Secured Indebtedness, (11) Granting 12 Liens, (111) Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral by the 13 Debtors and Providing for Adequate Protection, (IV) 14 Modifying the Automatic Stay, and (V) Scheduling a Final 15 Hearing. 16 17 Hearing re: 06-01085-smb Conference re: Motion for 18 Preliminary Injunction and/or Extension of the Automatic 19 Stay. 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

Г	1 g 3 01 00
	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ROPES & GRAY LLP
4	Attorney for the Debtor
5	1211 Avenue of the Americas
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: KRISTINA K. ALEXANDER
9	GREGG M. GALARDI
10	MICHAEL S. WINOGRAD
11	
12	RIEMER & BRAUNSTEIN LLP
13	Attorney for Silicon Valley Bank ("SVB")
14	Time Square Tower, Suite 2506
15	Seven Time Square
16	New York, NY 10036
17	
18	BY: PAUL S. SAMSON
19	
20	BINDER & SCHWARTZ LLP
21	Attorney for Mr. Bollea
22	366 Madison Avenue, 6th Floor
23	New York, NY 10017
24	
25	BY: ERIC B. FISHER

	Page 6
1	SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP
2	Attorney for Cerberus Business Finance LLC
3	919 Third Avenue
4	New York, NY 10022
5	
6	BY: ADAM C. HARRIS
7	BRIAN C. TONG
8	
9	COHEN & GRESSER LLP
10	800 Third Avenue
11	New York, NY 10022
12	
13	BY: DANIEL H. TABAK
14	
15	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
16	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
17	
18	BY: SUSAB ARBEIT
19	
20	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL
21	Attorneys for Debtor
22	125 Broad Street
23	New York, NY 10041
24	
25	BY: MICHAEL TORKIN

Page 8 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: All rise. Please be seated. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. Gawker Media? 4 MR. GALARDI: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MR. GALARDI: Greg Galardi of Ropes & Gray on 7 behalf of the Debtors, Gawker Media LLC, Gawker Media Group, 8 Inc., as well as Kinja. 9 Your Honor, first, thank you for, 1, accommodating 10 us last week when we came in on an emergency matter with 11 respect to the request for a TRO. And before proceeding 12 today, Your Honor, I'd like to introduce a couple people in 13 the courtroom today on our side so that you'll know who's 14 speaking. 15 First, to my left is an associate with our firm at 16 Ropes & Gray who really knows everything about this case, 17 which I don't --18 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) speaking. MR. GALARDI: -- Kristina Alexander. She will be 19 20 speaking, Your Honor, so I will cede the podium for exactly 21 that purpose. 22 And then I think you met Mr. Winograd, who is 23 handling the litigation with respect to the TRO on Friday. 24 Also in this courtroom today are representatives, 25 the first-day declarant, Mr. William Holden, and then Mr.

Reed Snellenberger, who is from Houlihan Lokey, who has done a lot of the work with respect to the DIP and the asset purchase agreement.

Your Honor, I leave it to you. There are really two matter here -- two hearings today. One is Your Honor had asked for a status conference with respect to the preliminary injunction and TRO. Then I'll call -- the other part of the hearing is the standard first-day relief that we will be seeking from Your Honor.

I can start with an introduction in general and then, at Your Honor's pleasure, which --

THE COURT: Why don't we do the status conference last and let's go through the first-day motions.

MR. GALARDI: Okay, fine, Your Honor. First, just by way of introduction, I've introduced the people. I wanted to let Your Honor know that the professionals, I believe, were mostly retained in the period in mid-May of this year to work on various matters.

In addition, Gawker Media did hire Mr. Holden as a CRO at two of the companies, GMGI as well as Gawker Media LLC. He is not a CRO at the Kinja, but he is in fact an authorized representative of that company for prosecuting its bankruptcy.

In addition, Your Honor, because of the issues that I think will unfold as the course of these cases

proceed, we also hired an independent Board member to serve on the Board of Gawker Media Group, Inc. His name is Scott Tillman.

Your Honor, because the company has 190 employees and there is obviously a lot of activity and a lot of litigation going on, Mr. Nick Denton, who is the CEO, and Ms. Heather Dietrick, who is the General Council and President of Gawker Media LLC, are not in the courtroom today. The authority is given to the CRO to prosecute the first-day motions. When it's appropriate, we will bring them to the courtroom, but today they're back at the company working with the employees.

Just by way of background -- and I'll just say, some of the facts that are set forth in Mr. Holden's declaration, which we would move into evidence at the conclusion -- there are 190 employees at the company. There are seven media brands that are listed in the first-day declaration. They range from Gizmodo to Deadspin to Gawker, Jezebel, LifeHacker, Jalopnik and I think it's Kotaku. But obviously, the one that is the most known for the purpose of this case and the publicity that's come around this case is the Gawker dotcom and the stories that it writes.

The primary revenue for the company is generated out of the advertising on those websites. The corporate structure is set forth in Mr. Holden's declaration and it's

relatively straightforward. GMGI, as we call it, is the parent company. It is a Cayman Islands company. It is the controlling member of Gawker Media LLC. Gawker Media LLC is a company that does business out of an office in the city of New York. It is the United States operating company. And GMGI also owns the interests of essentially what is a Hungarian LLC, Kinja, and it operates out of Hungaria.

There is a relationship between all of these company -- the two companies, Kinja and Gawker Media, whereby there are licensing -- the details as set forth in Mr. Holden's affidavit -- there is licensing and then there are servicing agreements.

The capital structure, Your Honor, is also what I think simple, although there is a fair amount of debt on the company. There is a first lien facility that as of today was provided by Silicon Valley Bank. It is a first lien facility. The total outstanding borrowings are approximately \$6.25 million.

There is a second lien facility that was provided by Columbus Nova in the amount of \$15 million. There is an inter-creditor agreement that exists between Silicon Valley Bank and Columbus Nova.

In addition to Silicon Valley Bank's \$6.25 million outstanding, it issued -- outstanding -- it issued an outstanding as a letter of credit for approximately \$5

million. Gawker moved from a place around SoHo up to 14th or 16th Street, and in connection with that new leased space, had to post an LC. That LC is not currently cash collateralized, but it is an outstanding obligation.

In addition to those debts, there are essentially \$13 million of intercompany debt. Most of that intercompany debt is owed by Gawker Media LLC to Kinja, and it's set forth in the forms of two notes, which are described in the first-day declaration.

Your Honor, why are we here? Well, I think, as
Your Honor probably knows, there is a lot of litigation that
has gone on over the last couple years with respect to a
high publicity litigation that's taken place in Florida.
There are a number of other litigations that are taking
place around the country, many of them styled the same.
They're defamation suits, they involve Mr. Denton, they
involve Gawker Media, and then oftentimes they involve also
an editor, or an articles writer, that has written, whether
it's freelance or as an employee of Gawker.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GALARDI: That expense has been one burden.

Like any other company, the expense of the litigation has been incurred. That's one of the reasons that the company ultimately took the Columbus Nova second lien debt to try to continue to finance and to prevail in that litigation.

Your Honor, it also has the indirect effect -- not just simply the litigation burn -- but there is a certain negative effect that it has had on the advertising. And as I said earlier, 75 percent of the revenues do come from advertising. So there has been a negative effect on the advertising.

So, Your Honor, it's very much like other
businesses we bring to Your Honor. They have a lack of cash
flow necessary to their expenses and it is a depreciating
asset in the sense that the advertising has, over the last
couple years, stepped away a little bit. But more
importantly, there is just an incredible burn by way of
litigation.

Your Honor, that came to a very significant conclusion -- it's one of those litigations -- not a conclusion, but a monumental point -- on June 10th. On June 10th, the company -- there had already been a judgment entered, but in the Florida State Court there was a hearing in which Gawker Media and Mr. Denton asked the judge for certain relief from the judgment. It was two forms of relief that were being asked for. There may have been more, but the significant ones was to reduce the judgment. That may have been denied prior to that point in time.

But also, there was a bequest to stay the judgment to go forward with the appeal in the Florida Appellate

Court.

There's back and forth about that and you'll hear a little bit more of the PI. The judge was going to enter a stay order, but the stay order was not acceptable. The order had various provisions in it which we need not discuss, but ultimately, the judgment would have allowed, we believe, them to start executing on assets.

We learned a little bit more about New York State
Law and execution of judgments. And once it comes here,
there could be an execution of a judgment, would put a
judgment lien on both Gawker and Mr. Denton's property,
which is why we came to your Court once we had learned of
that potential.

Your Honor, it's not -- that's only one of the litigations, as I mentioned. There are many other litigations going on, and in fact, Your Honor, we've even been threatened with a cease and desist currently regarding an article regarding one of the presidential candidates, that we received a letter to cease and desist, and we continue to write articles. We do believe that it is valid journalism and we do believe that we are entitled to write such articles. At the same time, there are others that will litigate, and we no longer can afford to litigate on all of these fronts.

So what we did is we came to a decision to what we

think maximizes the value for the creditor constituencies and potentially stockholders, was to come to this Court and file bankruptcy and to seek a sale of the assets.

As Your Honor is aware, we negotiated and have filed a stalking horse purchase agreement. The stalking horse purchase agreement is with a well-known media company, Ziff Davis. It's a \$90 million stalking horse bid. We will have to talk today at some point, your honor, about scheduling and requirement, and hopefully we can work out a schedule for having that offer subject to higher and better offers.

Again, Houlihan has been involved in this process and actually was involved with it prior to the mid-May, to looking for potential acquisition partners or financing, and when it comes time, they will testify to that effect for Your Honor.

But we are happy to come here today with an offer to sell the company for \$90 million. It has no financing condition. It has no due diligence commissions. And we would like to proceed forward with getting a good procedures hearing on file.

Your Honor, we have been in contact with the U.S.

Trustee's Office. There is, I understand, a formation

meeting scheduled for next Friday, June 24 at 11:00 a.m. -
11:30, that's right -- to accommodate my schedule. I

appreciate it; 11:30 a.m. next Friday. So we hope to have a committee, if there is going to be one appointed in these cases, up and running.

So, Your Honor, how do we get to where we want to go? Well, we have negotiated a financing. Again, Mr.

Holden's affidavit and declaration set forth how many parties we reached out to. We are here today with a DIP financing to be provided by an affiliated service. That DIP financing is in the amount of \$22 million. It is a financing that would take out the first lien facility, which is the Silicon Valley Bank facility. It would also cash collateralize the LC that's behind it --

THE COURT: Why are you -- why are you cash collateralizing the LC?

MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I think it's to make sure that Silicon Valley Bank will stay out of its position. Otherwise, it would have an indemnity claim back for the draw on the LC. And it would be better to -- they can't simply do -- it will either be a back-to-back letter of credit or it would have been a cash collateralized. It was a condition for them to step aside and not have three banks involved in the case.

We can get further to that, but it was a simpler process to do that than to have it go back around Silicon Valley Bank at some point and then coming back, we'd also

have a priority issue with respect to all of the lenders.

As will also be said -- and I think it's set forth in Mr. Holden's declaration -- Silicon Valley Bank would not consent to a simple straight priming lien. We had tried to do that. We could've taken that issue up, Your Honor, with an asset purchase agreement as all the pieces came together. It was -- it was at least the judgment of the company to proceed with a consensual cash collateral with respect to the second lien only and the take out facility of Silicon Valley Bank, obviously, all subject to Your Honor -- to Your Honor's approval. (Indiscernible) finally, so that's how we get there. Just want to express a concern about getting there.

Your Honor, we did negotiate an asset purchase agreement with a MAC or a MAE clause, however it's described in there. It does, fortunately, carve out certain -- a certain litigation, the Bollea litigation. There are other issues, obviously. There are -- it's not carving out other litigations. So one of the things -- and this will be my introduction to the preliminary injunction, which we will come back to -- is that there is not a carve-out.

So we are concerned, and one of the reasons we did seek a stay with respect to the -- all of the litigations, is to make sure that we can take this breathing spell that we think the Bankruptcy Court should give us, both us and

Page 18 1 for Mr. Denton -- and you'll hear later about the other 2 third parties involved in that litigation -- to be able to 3 accomplish the sale and to maximize value for the estate, and then we'll deal with all of that litigation. 4 5 That's the background, Your Honor. I would cede -6 - unless Your Honor has questions about --7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. GALARDI: -- the background, I'd cede the --9 THE COURT: All right. One question. You said 10 that the second lien lender is Columbus Nova? 11 MR. GALARDI: Correct. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Holden's declaration says it USBC 13 Partners, LP. 14 MR. GALARDI: That's the name of the -- that's the 15 name of the entity behind it, Your Honor. I'm sorry to have 16 confused which one it was. 17 THE COURT: And that's -- and that entity is also 18 a shareholder, correct? 19 MR. GALARDI: Yes, it is, Your Honor. And I did -20 - in that same -- in that same transaction that gave the \$15 21 million did get certain of the shares. Correct, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. GALARDI: Thank you. I will now turn it over 23 24 to Ms. Alexander to take Your Honor through the first-day 25 papers.

Page 19 1 THE COURT: Before you do that, do you want to 2 move Mr. Holden's declaration --MR. GALARDI: Yes, I would like to move it and I 3 think it's -- I'd move in his declaration, first-day 4 5 declaration into the record, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anyone who objects to 7 the receipt of Mr. Holden's declaration or wants to cross-8 examine Mr. Holden? The record should reflect there's no 9 response. I'll receive his declaration as his testimony. 10 MR. GALARDI: And Your Honor, he had a second 11 declaration with respect to the DIP financing. I can move 12 that now if Your Honor would accept it, or we can wait until 13 the hearing --14 THE COURT: We can wait on that one. Just remind 15 me about it. 16 MR. GALARDI: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 MS. ALEXANDER: Good morning, Your Honor. Kristina Alexander from Ropes & Gray, counsel for the 19 20 Debtors. 21 Your Honor, we are before you on a number of 22 first-day motions, a few of which are administrative, and the rest are operational. And before we get started --23 24 THE COURT: Hold that -- hold -- Mr. Fisher, if 25 you want to speak, please speak outside.

Page 20 1 MR. FISHER: I apologize, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 3 MS. ALEXANDER: No problem. Your Honor, would you prefer to start with the administrative motions? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. So we filed a view 7 administrative motions for -- I understand from speaking 8 with your clerk that we won't be going forward on a case 9 management motion? THE COURT: Well, we're going to get to that. Let 10 11 me do the other motions. Does anybody object to the motion 12 of joint administration? The record should reflect there's no response. It's granted. You can submit an order. 13 14 MS. ALEXANDER: Great. And then --15 THE COURT: Does anybody object to the motion to 16 file a consolidated creditors' list in the form described in 17 the motion? The record should reflect there's no response. 18 That motion is granted. 19 Does anyone object to the extension of time to 20 file the schedules and statement of financial affairs? The 21 record should reflect there is no response. The motion is 22 granted. 23 With respect to the case management motion, since 24 this may be the last time creditors get any information 25 about this case other than the confirmation hearing and the

Page 21 1 bar date, or whatever the Committee may put on its website, 2 serve this motion on all creditors. MS. ALEXANDER: Serve the motion on all creditors? 3 THE COURT: And then make it returnable on --4 5 let's be -- have you selected a date for the final hearings 6 and (indiscernible)? 7 MS. ALEXANDER: We have not yet, Your Honor. We 8 have --9 THE COURT: Let me -- let me do that now because 10 I'm going to be out the next -- in and out the next three 11 weeks. MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, with respect to that, 12 13 which Court you're -- we did understand there was time on 14 July 7th that we had spoke --15 THE COURT: Well, that's something to think about. 16 MR. GALARDI: Now, one question, Your Honor, and 17 maybe we'll take it up at -- but it is a scheduling matter 18 and not a substantive matter -- we were wondering, with respect to the bid procedures hearing, is there any time 19 20 before July 7th solely for that purpose that Your Honor may 21 be able to squeeze us in? 22 THE COURT: Well, I prefer to do it once a 23 committee is appointed. 24 MR. GALARDI: That's why I mentioned that date. 25 So the last week of June would be wonderful, but I

Page 22 1 understand it's vacation time and --THE COURT: Well, it's not a vacation. 2 3 MR. GALARDI: Okay. THE COURT: It's not really on business. I can do 4 5 it the Monday morning of June 27th and then I'm pretty much 6 out until after the 4th of July. 7 MR. GALARDI: One second. Let me -- because I 8 know I'm in trial. I'm in trial. Your Honor, I'm in trial 9 so I --10 THE COURT: All right --11 MR. GALARDI: (Indiscernible) is there. 12 THE COURT: It'll have to be July 7th then, I 13 guess. 14 MR. GALARDI: You okay? 15 THE COURT: I'm just not in the city that week. 16 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, let me --17 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) Just so you don't 18 think I'm going on vacation. 19 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I wasn't -- I 20 understand. I'm just trying to think. If Your Honor could 21 give us just --22 THE COURT: Why don't we -- well -- all right, the 23 other stuff is going to go to July 7th and we'll use the 24 afternoon. July 7th, 2:00. 25 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor --

Page 23 1 THE COURT: So you can make your motion returnable 2 regarding the cash management deliver -- case management 3 deliver July 7 and 2:00. MR. GALARDI: And on the 27th of June, Your Honor, 4 5 what time would you have available? 6 THE COURT: It'll have to be in the morning 7 because I have to leave in the afternoon. 8 MR. GALARDI: May I have -- may I hold that for 9 two hours today --10 THE COURT: Sure. 11 MR. GALARDI: -- and then I will get back -- thank 12 you very much. 13 THE COURT: So you want me to hold June 27th at 14 10:00? 15 MR. GALARDI: That would be great, or earlier is 16 even better because I believe I go to trial with Judge 17 (indiscernible). 18 THE COURT: Maybe better (indiscernible). 19 MR. GALARDI: Sorry. 20 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you submit an 21 order today scheduling the (indiscernible) procedures 22 hearing at that time? 23 MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 24 25 MS. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, I think then that

Page 24 1 resolves the administrative motions. 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MS. ALEXANDER: And so moving forward, I think we could go to cash management if that works for you? Your 4 5 Honor, the Debtor's cash management system is fairly easy to 6 understand. There are effectively three components in our 7 cash management motion for which we seek relief. 8 The cash management system in general, the Debtors 9 have six bank accounts. Five bank accounts are held at 10 Silicon Valley Bank here in the United States. One bank 11 account is held at K&H Bank, which is a bank in Hungary. The bank accounts that the Debtors have are -- there are 12 13 three operating accounts. 14 Each Debtor has its own operating account in the 15 United States at Silicon Valley. And then Kinja, the 16 Hungarian subsidiary, also has its own operating account in 17 Hungary at K&H Bank. 18 THE COURT: How much money was in that account on 19 the petition date? 20 MS. ALEXANDER: The Kinja operating account at 21 this point, as of the petition date, had very little money. 22 It was (indiscernible) at \$743.00. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. That's the Kinja 25 operating account in the A -- in the U.S. My apologies.

Page 25 1 The Kinja Hungarian account had more than that. It had 2 \$112,000 as of the petition date. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 MS. ALEXANDER: And as part of the cash management 5 at the sessions that we've had with the U.S. Trustee, we've 6 made extensive modifications to our order to address their 7 concerns about having funds outside of the United States at 8 a bank in Hungary. 9 So those accommodations will be that -- we've 10 agreed that at no point in time will we ever have more than 11 \$200,000 in the account, and that moreover, the Debtors will 12 use all of their best efforts to keep the balance in that 13 account as low as possible and generally keep the balance at 14 \$50,000. 15 And so the Debtors will engage in a frequent 16 effort to sweep the account to the extent that balances 17 increase beyond that \$50,000 with the caveat that there will 18 be a time or two during the month when the account will have as much as \$200,000 to accommodate payroll and payables 19 20 there. 21 THE COURT: Who is the U.S. Trustee? 22 MS. ARBEIT: Good morning, Your Honor. Arbeit for the U.S. Trustee. 23 24 The U.S. Trustee, even with those changes, still 25 The Debtor has made representations to me has concerns.

MS. ARBEIT: I have, yes.

Page 26

that they will after this interim. Make all best efforts to try to move the money in the Hungarian account to Kinja's U.S. account. So, with that representation, the U.S. Trustee has no objections.

THE COURT: Have you seen the revised order?

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone want to be heard with respect to the cash management motion? I don't think -- had you completed your presentation?

MS. ALEXANDER: No, the only other two things we have, Your Honor, are just standard intercompany transfers. Here, the Debtors have a complex intercompany relationship in terms of accrued intercompany payables at Gawker Media, Gawker Media LLC, and in our companies at Kinja.

We're not seeking to, of course, address any of the prepetition intercompany payable debt. We are only seeking to permit an ongoing transfer from Gawker Media LLC to Kinja of no more than \$150,000 per month at this time, simply to fund Kinja. And that is a pay-down effectively of amounts owed to Kinja.

It's paying far less than what's owed to Kinja on a post-petition ongoing basis for Kinja's provision of services and of its licenses under two separate agreements, a master license agreement and a services agreement, after Media LLC makes payments periodically. And we'd like to

continue those on a post-petition basis. And of course, seek (indiscernible) priority treatment for those transfers.

The only other component to our cash management motion is to address our credit card account. We have an American Express. We use American Express as part of our cash management system. There are approximately 34 credit cards. As of the petition date, we had an outstanding balance, I believe, of just under \$100,000.

THE COURT: How much?

MS. ALEXANDER: \$100,000 -- just under \$100,000.

And we're seeking to pay that balance as part of our cash management system.

THE COURT: Why is it necessary to pay the balance on an emergency basis? Remember, these -- all of these motions are predicated on relief that's necessary to avoid immediate, irreparable harm. What's going to happen between now and July 7th if you don't pay that balance?

MS. ALEXANDER: Sure, Your Honor, absolutely. The

-- as I understand it, the balance is past due and was due

at the time that we filed for Chapter 11. I don't believe

we've received any kind of communication from American

Express indicating that they would shut down our cards

immediately.

We would, however, like to pay the balance so that the cards continue to operate in the ordinary course.

Page 28 1 THE COURT: But you have another American Express 2 issue coming up in the wage motion, don't you? 3 MS. ALEXANDER: This is -- this is the same issue. 4 Yeah, this is really the same issue. The American Express 5 bill is used to -- the American Express cards -- excuse me -6 - are used to pay employee expenses. 7 THE COURT: Has American Express threatened to 8 shut down the account if this money isn't paid? 9 MS. ALEXANDER: Not that I am aware of. 10 looking to our CRO. No, we've received no communications. 11 So if Your Honor would like to adjourn this to a final --12 THE COURT: Yeah, I think it -- what I'll do is, 13 on an interim basis, I will authorize the continuation of 14 the cash management system. 15 You didn't mention it but I think you've dealt 16 with the issue of the 345(b) compliance with the stipulation 17 regarding the Hungarian account. And we'll push the 18 question of the credit card bill to July 7th after a 19 committee is appointed. 20 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay, that's great. And Your Honor, I should state on the record as part of the order, 21 22 we've agreed to reduce the period we requested on the 345 from 90 to 45 days, subject to further consultation with the 23 24 U.S. Trustee. 25 We've also agreed to modify our business forms to

Page 29 1 reflect the Debtor in Possession status as soon as 2 practical. THE COURT: All right. So the balance of the 3 motion -- well it's an interim order anyway, so it's 4 5 adjourned to July 7th at 2:00. 6 MS. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, moving on to wages 7 motion -- the wages motion, which is really the heart of the 8 business because, of course, this business is an Internet-9 based business that is -- that consists of writers and 10 editors. We thought -- different kinds of relief in the 11 wages motion, specifically --12 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you the same question 13 on the wages motion that I just asked you about the 14 (indiscernible). 15 MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. 16 THE COURT: Other than the way priority wages, --17 MS. ALEXANDER: Mm hmm. 18 THE COURT: -- which I don't have a problem with. MS. ALEXANDER: What needs to be paid in the 19 20 immediate -- yet. So we have spoken with --21 THE COURT: And why? 22 MS. ALEXANDER: -- the Trustee about this and we 23 have agreed all of the references to severance and severance 24 pay will adjourn until the final hearing, as well as there 25 are two incentive programs --

Page 30 1 THE COURT: Let's go through the motion, because 2 there's a lot of stuff in this motion. It's not just wages, 3 although it's referred to as a wage motion. MS. ALEXANDER: 4 Sure. 5 THE COURT: As I said, I've authorized the payment 6 of wages up to the priority amount. What's the editorial 7 employee incentives program? 8 MS. ALEXANDER: The editorial employee incentives 9 program is a program that is -- we think of it kind of as an 10 article of the month type program. And to be clear, before 11 I explain it, Your Honor, we have agreed with the U.S. Trustee not to seek interim relief on that now. 12 13 THE COURT: That one's July 7th --14 MS. ALEXANDER: That will be for July 7th, yes. 15 THE COURT: 2:00. 16 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. The U.S. Trustee would like 17 a little more information, and so we intend to file a 18 supplement with respect to that. 19 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) incentive program? 20 MS. ALEXANDER: Same thing, Your Honor. We've 21 agreed to kick that until July 7th. 22 THE COURT: What's paid time off obligations? MS. ALEXANDER: Paid time off obligations is to 23 24 continue in the ordinary course honoring paid time off and 25 the time-off benefits that our employees have.

Page 31 1 THE COURT: I'll combine that with the wage 2 motion. So to the extent it's part of the priority, sounds 3 like wages to me anyway, you can pay it as -- up to the 4 priority. 5 MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Which is an aggregate limit, 7 obviously. 8 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Next, independent contractors and 10 temporary employees? 11 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, our independent contractors. So the nature of the Debtor's business is that they use a 12 13 lot of independent contractors as writers. Those writers --14 in fact, they've used something like a thousand over the 15 last few years. 16 What our -- what the company did was to go through 17 and figure out how many they've used in the last few months and we came up with around 160. These are individuals who 18 19 submit individual pieces to be published on the website. 20 So, articles, postings, things like that. They provide content. And, of course, the content 21 22 of the website drives the advertising revenue that the 23 company gets. So these are important parties. 24 The independent contractors, many of them could be 25 salaried employees but choose not to be salaried employees

for one reason or another. But they are continuing to provide services to the Debtors on a regular basis. They're paid through invoices, effectively invoicing the company or providing timesheets to the company.

The analysis we've done suggests that there shouldn't be any independent contractor who's owed at this time more than around \$7,000, based on historical submissions and how much work we think they've done. But we don't seek -- obviously, we don't seek to pay any individual more than the traditional wage cap.

THE COURT: Does anybody object to the payment up to the wage priority of any independent contractors? The record should reflect there's no response. I'll approve that they're essentially wages, up to the priority amount per person.

MS. ALEXANDER: The other large component of our motion, Your Honor, is --

THE COURT: And you didn't mention -- you can also pay the temporary employees up to the priority.

MS. ALEXANDER: And then, Your Honor, the other large components of our motion are the employee benefit plans, to continue funding those. And then as you'll see there on Page 19 of our motion, we have \$350,000 of the \$881,000 that we seek is actually withholding and payroll tax.

Page 33 1 THE COURT: Well, withholding tax I don't have a 2 problem with. It's not the Debtor's money. They're trust funds. Do the payroll taxes include the Debtor's portion of 3 4 the payroll taxes --5 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes. 6 THE COURT: -- or are they just the withholding --7 withheld taxes? 8 MS. ALEXANDER: I believe it includes the Debtor's 9 portion of the payroll taxes as well. 10 THE COURT: Let me go back. First thing you have 11 are your employee benefit obligations. There's -- oh, by 12 the way, with respect to all the claimants, their wages, I'm 13 also directing you to pay the taxes that go with the wages, 14 whenever they're due. Tell me about health insurance benefits. 15 16 MS. ALEXANDER: The health insurance benefits, 17 Your Honor, the company provides very generous health 18 insurance benefits for its employees. The health insurance, which includes medical, dental, vision and spending 19 20 accounts, the core medical is paid somewhere between 77 21 percent and 100 percent for employees, in terms of the 22 company fully providing them with care. Dental, vision and 23 spending accounts are funded by employees themselves. 24 The company also provides, at its cost, life and 25 disability insurance, health club memberships, and other

Page 34 1 miscellaneous benefits to the employees. 2 We have done the analysis to figure out -- we do 3 not believe that any employee would exceed the cap by having their benefits continued to be paid and the prepetition 4 5 amounts satisfied on behalf of the employees. And --6 THE COURT: But you say you owe \$66,000 on the 7 health insurance benefits? 8 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: When is that payable? 10 MS. ALEXANDER: I don't know the exact date of 11 when it's payable. I know it is in the interim, so it would be in the next -- the advice I gave was in the next 21 days 12 13 and whether or not we needed the funds. 14 THE COURT: Well, I've scheduled a hearing on July 15 7th. Can it wait until then? 16 MS. ALEXANDER: My CRO, Your Honor, is shaking his 17 head no. 18 THE COURT: And why not? MS. ALEXANDER: I believe, Your Honor, it's due 19 20 before then. We could obviously --21 THE COURT: Well, when is it due? That's what I'm 22 asking. 23 MR. HOLDEN: June 1st. 24 MS. ALEXANDER: July 1st. 25 MAN: Oh, it's past due.

Page 35 1 THE COURT: It's past due? 2 MS. ALEXANDER: Sorry. 3 THE COURT: Does anybody object to the payment of approximately \$66,000 in connection with past due amounts 4 5 under the health insurance plan? 6 The record should reflect there's no response. 7 I'll authorize that payment. Again, it's part of the 8 ultimate priority amounts. 9 In terms of going forward, you can go forward on 10 an interim basis, but that will be reviewed with the 11 Committee on July 7th or thereafter. 12 And you didn't mention it, but you can pay the 13 Kinja amount to the Hungarian creditor. 14 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: How much do you owe with respect to 16 life and disability? 17 MS. ALEXANDER: Our life and disability insurance 18 is provided by the company. It's seeking \$84,000 in interim 19 relief. I think that -- excuse me, Your Honor. I 20 understand that there are outstanding payments that are long 21 past due. There are a few payments that never made it into 22 our accounts payable. 23 THE COURT: And then have you gotten any notices 24 from the insurers that they are going to terminate the 25 policies?

Page 36 1 MS. ALEXANDER: Not to my knowledge, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. We'll put that on for July 3 7th. If you get such a notice, you can come back. 4 MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I'm not sure they can send you the 6 notice anyway but -- at this point. 7 Okay. Spending accounts. 8 MS. ALEXANDER: Spending accounts. 9 THE COURT: Are these flexible spending accounts? 10 MS. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Your Honor... THE COURT: These are flexible spending accounts? 11 12 MS. ALEXANDER: Yeah, these are flexible spending accounts which I believe, Your Honor, are just held in trust 13 14 for our employees. 15 THE COURT: Yeah. Does anybody object to the 16 payment of \$9,000 in connection with the flexible spending 17 accounts? The record should reflect there's no response. 18 That's authorized. 19 20 Retirement plans. MS. ALEXANDER: Retirement plans. So the Debtors 21 22 operate a 401(k) savings plan through Fidelity Investments. 23 The 401(k) -- for each of the 401(k) plans, the Debtors --24 oh, for the total 401(k) plan for all the Debtors, I should 25 say -- the Debtors estimate that they hold approximately

\$39,000 on account of the employee contributions to the plan.

Gawker itself also makes contributions to the plan for each of its employees who's been employed for over a year. The employees get 3 percent of their annual salary contributed. As of the petition date, Gawker contributes approximately \$20,000 on a biweekly basis for the employees who qualify for this contribution. And at this time, as of the petition date, Gawker believes it owes approximately \$20,000 for prepetition contributions to that 401(k) plan.

THE COURT: Does anybody object to the payment of the past-due retirement amounts and going forward, at least on an interim basis?

The record should reflect there's no response.

I'll approve the payment, certainly the employees' portion of payment if the Debtor holds that in trust, but also the Debtor's portion of the payments, and to continue the plan on an interim basis.

And next is health club membership.

MS. ALEXANDER: Health club membership. The company provides the health club membership for all of its employees. There are approximately 99 participants in the U.S. and 25 in Hungary. I don't know the due date for the health club membership fees, though I think there is a chance if Your Honor is reluctant to approve this one that

Page 38 1 we could --2 THE COURT: July 7 at 2:00. MS. ALEXANDER: Push this to July 7, sure. 3 THE COURT: Employee expense obligations? 4 5 MS. ALEXANDER: Employee expense obligations. So 6 the company, as of right now, owes approximately \$29,000 to 7 employees for expenses that they themselves incurred for the 8 benefit of the company engaged in their work. 9 The expenses for some employees, because they are investigative reporters involved -- large expenses, like 10 11 flying and cars and lodging and things like that. 12 extent of the expenses, as I understand them, the largest 13 one outstanding is just over \$2,000, and the average 14 outstanding expense is somewhere around \$350.00, again, 15 largely reimbursement for travel costs for reporting. Of 16 course, the company would very much like to ensure that 17 their employees who have spent their own money doing work 18 can be reimbursed for that immediately so they're not out 19 the funds. 20 THE COURT: Does there any -- is there anyone who 21 objects to the reimbursement of the employee expenses? 22 The record should reflect there's no response. 23 I'll authorize that. 24 MS. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, the only other aspects 25 of the motion are severance obligations, which we're

Page 39 1 obviously not addressing today. 2 THE COURT: July 7th. 3 MS. ALEXANDER: July 7th? And then we just have payroll administration fees. We use ADP to handle all of 4 5 our payroll. We'd, of course, like them to keep handling 6 our payroll in the ordinary course. So we would like to pay 7 them the \$11,000 they're owed. 8 THE COURT: You -- actually, we glossed over the 9 payroll taxes. 10 MS. ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. I 11 thought we covered that. My apologies. 12 THE COURT: Now, how much did you say is the 13 Debtor's payroll -- portion of the payroll taxes? 14 MS. ALEXANDER: Um --15 THE COURT: And is that part of the same -- is 16 that part of the same return when you pay the employees' 17 portion? MS. ALEXANDER: It is -- I don't know that I have 18 it -- the withholding and payroll tax obligations broken out 19 20 here. I'm looking. 21 THE COURT: I think you told me. You said it was 22 \$350,000 in the aggregate. 23 MS. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, if you'll give me just 24 one moment --25 THE COURT: Sure.

Page 40 1 MS. ALEXANDER: -- I'm going to confer with my 2 CRO. Hopefully, he can give me the numbers. 3 So it's \$300,000 total for employee and employer obligations. 4 5 THE COURT: So it's not \$350,000? 6 MS. ALEXANDER: Just one second, Your Honor. 7 Your Honor, the combined is actually \$350,000. I just confirmed. And we -- at this point in time, we don't 8 9 know the split between employee versus employer obligations. 10 THE COURT: It's usually about 80 percent employee 11 and 20 percent (indiscernible). 12 Does anybody object to the payment of the 13 withholding taxes -- oh, the payment of the payroll taxes or 14 the payroll administration fees? 15 The record should reflect there's no response. I 16 will authorize those payments. 17 MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. With that 18 on wages, we'll obviously revise our order and provided to 19 the U.S. Trustee and all the other parties for their review 20 before submitting it to Your Honor. 21 The next motion we have, if we take them in order, 22 I think is taxes? 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay, our tax motion. On an 25 interim basis, we are seeking to pay a total of about

Page 41 1 \$65,000; \$45,000 here in the U.S., which is property tax, 2 and \$20,000, which is taxes to various Hungarian taxing 3 authorities, which I will acknowledge to Your Honor I have 4 no mastery of the Hungarian taxing system. 5 THE COURT: And the last thing you want to do is 6 get into a tax dispute with a foreign country 7 (indiscernible). 8 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, certainly, and in a foreign 9 country, no less. And so that's what we would like to pay 10 on an interim basis. And then the Hungarian taxes increase, 11 obviously for July 7th. We're going to need additional 12 funds to keep paying those. 13 THE COURT: Are the property taxes overdue? The U.S. property taxes? 14 15 MS. ALEXANDER: U.S. property taxes, yes. 16 THE COURT: Is there anyone who wants to be heard 17 in connection with that motion? MS. ARBEIT: Your Honor, Susan Arbeit for the U.S. 18 Trustee. We had requested, and we haven't seen a revised 19 20 order, just that none of these taxes are being accelerated. 21 Otherwise, we have no objection. 22 THE COURT: Yeah. Obviously, I'm not authorizing 23 you to pay them before their due, but once they're due, the taxes accrue at a faster interest rate and you can 24 25 (indiscernible) your money, so they should be paid.

Page 42 1 MS. ARBEIT: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: So, subject to the U.S. Trustee 3 indicating no objection on the order, I'll approve that. 4 MS. ALEXANDER: And I should say --5 THE COURT: On a -- on a final basis, I guess. 6 MS. ALEXANDER: And the U.S. Trustee made that 7 request in a number of our orders where -- requesting that 8 we add in the specific line saying payments weren't being 9 accelerated. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MS. ALEXANDER: And I'll represent that we are 12 going to make that in each of those orders. 13 THE COURT: All right. Next, critical vendors. 14 MS. ALEXANDER: Critical vendors, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: One of my favorite orders on the first 16 day of the case. 17 MS. ALEXANDER: The critical vendors, I -- just to set the table a little bit here -- the critical vendors --18 19 and I know that most debtors find most of their vendors to 20 be critical. In our case we've --21 THE COURT: Looks like your finding them all to be 22 critical here. MS. ALEXANDER: So, I'll dress that. 23 24 THE COURT: All except the judgment creditor. 25 MS. ALEXANDER: We're -- here, Your Honor, I think

that the number I saw this morning is we're seeking to pay approximately 36 percent of our prepetition payables as critical. And I'll explain why, Your Honor, here, which is that because of the nature of these businesses, there's rapid mobility in terms of providers.

It only takes the flip of a switch, and there's no supply chain, there is no unwinding over the delivery of goods. It literally -- the services that we rely on to keep the websites up and running, to keep the advertisers up and running, can be turned off almost immediately.

So the types of vendors that we are seeking to pay our really those service providers that help us to generate revenue for the estate -- for the benefit of the estate.

THE COURT: But you -- how do you demonstrate that you're going to suffer immediate, irreparable harm if you don't make these payments? What they're going to do is basically speculation.

You haven't told me whether any of them are subject to executory contracts which none of them can breach. They face a substantial risk if they do breach it. That's the problem I have with the motion. Whereas once a committee is appointed, if a committee takes a look at this -- and it's the committee's money -- or it's the creditors money, after all, and they say yeah, I think they're critical, that goes a long way to convincing me --

Page 44 1 MS. ALEXANDER: Yeah. 2 THE COURT: -- if that's the case. So, can this 3 wait until July 7th? MS. ALEXANDER: Unfortunately, on the amount that 4 we seek on an interim basis, which is only \$95,000, I think 5 6 that --7 THE COURT: Every motion is only, only, only and -8 9 MS. ALEXANDER: And then it adds up. 10 THE COURT: You know, they add up. 11 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor. I understand. think that one of the discussions we had with the U.S. 12 13 Trustee was that we provided the U.S. Trustee with a list of 14 who we were paying and who we weren't, an additional 15 (indiscernible) on that. Obviously, we'd be happy to 16 provide that to the Court in a non-public --17 THE COURT: I'd like -- I'd like to see the list 18 with an explanation of whether or not there subject to an 19 executory contract to provide services or goods, I suppose. 20 MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. 21 THE COURT: Um --22 MS. ALEXANDER: I can represent that I believe the 23 majority --24 MR. GALARDI: Whether or not they have expressed, 25 threatened, whatever, that they're not going to provide the

Page 45 1 services if they don't get paid, it certainly might have 2 been information to considering the motion. 3 MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor. We can certainly 4 5 THE COURT: And if you want, I'll put this on 6 Monday morning on June 27th. 7 MS. ALEXANDER: That would be fine, Your Honor, 8 and I would appreciate that very much. We can submit a 9 supplement. 10 THE COURT: All right. But I want the information 11 before. Make sure you give it to the committee also because 12 the committee's input on this one is important. 13 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. And to be clear, Your Honor, this is not a filing, but just a submission to the 14 15 Court, correct? 16 THE COURT: Yes. No, no -- we don't want the 17 vendors to know who we think -- or who you think is 18 critical. MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. We 19 20 will make that submission the Court and confer with the U.S. 21 Trustee about any additional information that's needed. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MS. ALEXANDER: The next motion on my list, Your 24 Honor, is the insurance motion. 25 THE COURT: Does anybody object to the payment of

Page 46 the past due premiums and the continuation, at least on an interim basis of the insurance programs? The record should reflect there's no response. MAN: Yes. MS. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, I just wanted to make a correction. THE COURT: You can snatch defeat from the jaws of victory (Laughter). Go ahead. MS. ALEXANDER: I just wanted to make a correction for the record that two of our policies that we listed on our chart on Exhibit C, we listed annual premium and financing costs. And I just wanted to note that the two that are on an interim basis that are financed are employment practices, liability and our workers comp. THE COURT: All right. And again, as in the case of taxes, I'm not authorizing you to accelerate any. MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just past due payments. Keep the policies in place pending a review. MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's approved on the interim basis. MS. ALEXANDER: And I think, Your Honor, in terms of first-day operational, we've --THE COURT: One other thing. You have a utility motion. You know, I don't hear that on the first day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 47 1 get a 30 day stay to make a motion on notice your utilities. 2 You can't have that, I assume. That these are the 3 procedures you're proposing, et cetera. We'll make it returnable July 7th. So, get it out. And if anybody has an 4 5 objection, and sometimes they do object, we'll have a 6 hearing then. 7 MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. If you have no further questions, Your Honor, I'll 8 9 cede the podium back to Mr. Galardi. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, now turning to the 12 financing for this case, we did in fact, submit a separate 13 declaration. It's at docket number 20 per again, Mr. 14 Holden, with respect to the DIP facility. 15 Your Honor, the DIP facility, as I've already 16 described it, is providing financing with respect to what we 17 believe is on an interim basis and a need that we will have before the July 7th date. There has been a budget that has 18 19 been circulated along with that financing. The financing is 20 being provided by an affiliate of Cerberus. We have had 21 discussions with the U.S. Trustee. I think all issues but 22 maybe one are still open. Your Honor, just very briefly, Mr. Holden has 23 submitted a declaration. I don't think the people could 24

take cross examination on him, or if Your Honor had

questions, he could take the stand. With respect to the financing, is it one, a first takeout of the Silicon Valley bank facility. I think as set forth in Mr. Holden's declaration, we shopped high and low with respect to any sort of facilities when we found no one who was prepared to do any sort of junior debt or even layering of debt.

Indeed, one of the parties that is the second lien debt, we had many conversations with them, whether they would come in second and add facility. We had a limited pre-petition with respect to Silicon Valley Bank, and I call it Columbus Nova, Your Honor, but the entity. There was a little bit of room still to go through Silicon Valley Bank, about \$700,000. So, we couldn't live on that amount.

With respect to the professional fees and everything else that was going on, the company made a determination and Mr. Holden would testify that it could not live on cash collateral, even for the interim period, which we had considered at one point going into these cases.

So, we went through and looked at all the options for us. With respect to a priming fight, it was absolutely clear from Silicon Valley Bank that it was not prepared to consent to a priming, so it tried to avoid those costs. So, when we got to the end of the runway with all of the potential lenders, including Silicon Valley Bank, including Columbus Nova, including Cerberus, the only entity that

stepped up to provide this financing which we think is adequate for this case, is the financing from Cerberus.

The amount set forth in the document set forth the various interest and fees and the discount. We believe it is in the best interest, because we do, in fact, need a facility. Frankly, we did not get any other offer or financing that was as firm or moved as quickly as the Cerberus people had moved on this financing.

They did request to take out the first lien financing. Fortunately, though taking it out -- first lien financing, and therefore, not having the benefit of that second lien credit agreement, we did work a consensual deal with Columbus Nova that will essentially reflect what otherwise would have been in a second lien credit agreement with respect to payments; that they can't exercise certain rights, such as credited rights, et cetera.

Importantly, with respect to Columbus Nova, we had back and forth regarding a number of issues. They are only consenting, as made clear in the order, to an interim priming with reserving rights with respect to final priming. We had back and forth with them. There is a (indiscernible) issue within the second Columbus Nova facility. We reserved our rights with respect to that till the final. Your Honor, I believe the right to respect 506(c) is held out to the final order, as is the request to have liens on avoidance

Page 50 1 actions is held off to the entry of a final order. 2 I don't know if Your Honor would like to hear more from Mr. Holden or from me. I don't believe there are any 3 4 real objections other than one, the U.S. Trustee. 5 THE COURT: Just one. I just have a question 6 about collateralizing the letter of credit. 7 MR. GALARDI: Sure. THE COURT: Why do it? 8 9 MR. CATSONDONIS: Well --10 THE COURT: Silicon Valley would have a contingent 11 for reimbursement claim if it was forced to honor the Letter 12 of Credit, but it would be unsecured. Why secure the claim? 13 MR. GALARDI: Well, it wouldn't be unsecured, Your 14 Honor. It has -- it would go into this facility, and it 15 would have a lien on our assets for the payment, so if it 16 honors that Letter of Credit, it has a lien on our assets, 17 just like any other Letter of Credit from the facility 18 would. 19 THE COURT: Is this the primary that Cerberus is -20 21 MR. GALARDI: Which in that instance, we would have them first, so Cerberus would have had to prime that 22 facility. It's still contingent, but it's a contingent 23 24 first lien liability. So, either you have them sit there 25 and have the fight and have to prime on a contingent

Page 51 1 liability --2 THE COURT: Okay, now I understand what you are 3 saying. 4 MR. GALARDI: So, that's why it was easier to take 5 that facility out, to clean up the capital structure and now 6 have Cerberus first and Columbus Nova second. 7 THE COURT: Did you want to move Mr. Holden's 8 other --9 MR. GALARDI: I did want to move docket number 20 10 in, his other declaration in support of the Debtor in 11 Possession finance. 12 THE COURT: Is there anybody who objects to the 13 receipt of Mr. Holden's declaration as his direct testimony 14 and/or wants to cross examine Mr. Holden? 15 The record should reflect those nos. I'll receive 16 his second declaration in connection with the financing 17 motion. MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I just want to see if 18 19 the U.S. Trustee -- what status their objection is. 20 MS. ARBEIT: Your Honor, Susan Arbeit for the U.S. 21 Trustee. The U.S. Trustee hasn't had a chance to review the 22 most recent proposed order, so we would like a chance to do 23 that. 24 THE COURT: Well, I have several comments on the 25 order, so let me get through them.

Page 52 1 MS. ARBEIT: Okay. 2 MR. GALARDI: Sure. THE COURT: Does anybody else want to be heard in 3 connection with the financing -- proposed financing order? 4 5 Okay, the record should reflect there's no response. 6 Page 6 -- I'm looking at numbers on the bottom, 7 not the ECF numbers. 8 MR. GALARDI: Right. 9 THE COURT: You have a funding regarding notice. 10 What's the evidence that supports that? 11 MR. GALARDI: Yes, Your Honor. Once we learned 12 from Your Honor that we had a first-day hearing today, in 13 addition to giving parties -- having the documents on file, 14 we learned yesterday and had the service -- served notice of 15 all of the first-day papers to give --16 (Discussion off the record) 17 MR. GALARDI: We served the top -- as set forth in the motion, Your Honor, we served parties by notice by way 18 of email, as well as to some overnight mail where it was 19 20 available, and to others. It set forth in the motion. 21 Those are the parties. They were the top 50 creditors, as 22 well as the other parties and interests, Your Honor, and they will follow the declaration. Prime Clerk who we are 23 24 seeking to retain as the claims agent -- the notice agent in 25 this case will be filing a certification with respect to

Page 53 1 that. 2 THE COURT: And you also filed the notice on ECF. 3 MR. GALARDI: Yes. THE COURT: All right. 4 MR. GALARDI: And Your Honor, I noticed a blank in 5 6 at least mine, so that would have been -- I think it's June 7 14th will be the date that we'll ultimately get filled in on 8 the date of the notice. 9 THE COURT: On Page 11, Paragraph I, you have the 10 finding --11 MR. GALARDI: Excuse me, Your Honor? 12 THE COURT: On Page 11, Paragraph I, you have a 13 finding: Each item of the DIP collateral constitutes 14 property of the Debtor's estates. That should be a 15 stipulation. I can't make that finding. 16 MR. GALARDI: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Next page. This is minor, but it 18 relates to the adequate protection for the second lien 19 lenders. 20 MR. GALARDI: Yes, Your Honor? 21 THE COURT: 364 doesn't apply. You have it in a 22 couple of spots there, because they're just permitting the use of cash collateral, not lending money. So, they get 23 24 adequate protection under Section 363. 25 506(c) and 552(b), waivers, whatever should be

Page 54 1 subject to the final order. Those are creditor issues. 2 will hear from the committee on that. MS. ARBEIT: And Your Honor, Susan Arbeit. One 3 thing we would like to add is an express reservation with 4 5 respect to the 506(c) waiver. Perhaps, language -- you 6 know, without creditors, the rights of the parties and 7 interests to object to the final order. 8 THE COURT: Well, it's subject to a final order, 9 so it's not effective. 10 MS. ARBEIT: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Unless it appears in the final order. 12 A legal question for you. Page 13, Paragraph N, 13 there's a Motion of Business Judgment there, and I know that 14 the second lien lender who is permitting you to use cash 15 collateral is an insider. So, they get the benefit -- does 16 it get the benefit of the business judgment here? 17 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, that's --18 THE COURT: I'm asking. That's not a rhetorical 19 question. 20 MR. GALARDI: Well no, and I think I have an 21 answer. One is, with respect to the actual business 22 judgment standard, right, they are an equity holder, but 23 they were not a board member that participated in the 24 decisions with respect to that. So my view would be, one, as a second lien lender, they don't get that benefit. 25

Page 55 1 But to the extent they get it as a stockholder 2 because the board acted properly, there may be a derivative issue. But as a stockholder, I'm not sure you get the 3 benefit of that. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. GALARDI: It really goes to the board. 7 THE COURT: And again, on the next page, 14, the 8 second lien lender doesn't get any protections under 364(e), because 364(e) does not apply to the use of cash collateral. 9 10 Maybe they will get under 363(m). I'm not sure, but --11 since it's a sale provision. Under Paragraph N, the only finding that I'm 12 13 making is that the finance that is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm. The rest of this stuff 14 15 isn't the basis for emergency financing work. 16 The next paragraph, O, you have a reference which 17 appears to be by the term acquired lenders, but it's not defined. 18 19 MR. GALARDI: It is defined in the credit 20 agreement, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Why don't you refer to the paragraph 22 where it can be found, because I looked at the definitions, 23 and it wasn't really (indiscernible), by the way. MR. GALARDI: We will modify it to reflect what 24 25 Your Honor --

Page 56 THE COURT: There are a few in there where you refer to the credit agreement, but you know, the credit agreement is over 80 pages, and it's not easy to find stuff in there. On Page 17, you refer to the DIP budget, and you refer to a variance, which is usually 10 percent or something like that, but it doesn't say so in the order. It refers again to the DIP financing, which again, is very hard to find in there. So, the third of the paragraph -- where it can be found. Page 18 under the sub-paragraph repayment of prepetition first lien obligations, again there's a reference to the DIP financing agreement. I couldn't find that definition in the definitional section, so refer to where it can be found. Page 19 to 20, the carry over paragraph about DIP lien priority issue. Last sentence says no lien or interest avoided or preserved for the benefit of any -- I crossed that out, all right. Never mind. MR. GALARDI: Excuse me, Your Honor. Crossed that...? THE COURT: Never mind. I already did it. In the DIP -- on Page 20, and I guess this is a matter of contract, but you're making the DIP superpriority

claim superior to the cost of sale to some extent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 57 1 MR. GALARDI: Correct, Your Honor. It's senior to 2 the breakup fee. THE COURT: And you don't think that will chill 3 4 your ability to sell? 5 MR. GALARDI: The buyer hasn't in fact, gotten 6 I think that we're not getting another breakup fee, 7 Your Honor. So, this is really to the liquidated damages or 8 breakup fee expense reimbursement. You're not really giving 9 that in a new deal, because we have given all at once. You 10 don't have a second breakup fee. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. GALARDI: You don't have a second expense 13 reimbursement, so I don't believe it will chill bidding. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Page 22, Paragraph 4A as a 15 general reference --16 MR. GALARDI: 364. 17 THE COURT: -- Section 364(d) for adequate 18 protection for the second lien lender. On Page 23, the carryover provision, there's a reference to -- around the 19 20 claim number 364(c)(1) and the second lien lender, and the 21 second lien lender gets a claim that's given under 503(b), 22 and that's it to the extent to that it's a short pull in the 23 collateral. 24 MR. GALARDI: Mm hmm. 25 THE COURT: Page 26, the reservation of rights

Page 58 1 provision. My only comment is the committee gets standing under this order. The committee is a substitute for the 2 3 Debtor, who has entered into all of these stipulations. The 4 committee doesn't have to comment as to standing. So, make 5 the order clear that the committee is granted standing to 6 pursue those rights. 7 MR. GALARDI: Excuse me, Your Honor. I apologize. 8 The reference -- the provision you're looking at is the 9 Section 507(b) reservation? Is that what you were 10 commenting on? 11 THE COURT: No, no. There is a --12 THE COURT: The challenge. 13 MR. GALARDI: Section 7. Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: The committee doesn't have to ask for 14 15 standing for the challenge, since the Debtor is stipulating 16 for all this. 17 MR. GALARDI: I understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: On Page 36, Subparagraph B, there is a 18 phrase in the second sentence: Except as specifically 19 20 provided in this paragraph, the Debtors and the other 21 parties of interest shall not seek authority to use cash 22 collateral without the express written consent of the DIP 23 agent and the required lenders in their sole discretion. 24 Is that just the DIP lenders' cash collateral, or 25 does it include all cash collateral on the case?

Page 59 1 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I believe it's only the 2 DIP lenders, although we have the -- it's really the agreement to use this cash pursuant to the budget. That's 3 what both parties have said. 4 THE COURT: I understand, you know, the financing 5 6 Cerberus is lending money --7 MR. GALARDI: Right. THE COURT: -- and I guess they can impose any 8 9 reasonable restriction. But the second lien lenders are 10 allowing you to use cash collateral, and my only point is if 11 they say one day, no, you can't, seems to me you should have 12 the right to come in and say you're adequately protected --13 MR. GALARDI: Exactly. THE COURT: -- I can. So, I'm just asking, does 14 15 this limit that's in the subparagraph just apply go the 16 first -- what is basically the first lien lender, now 17 Cerberus? MR. GALARDI: Yes. That's what we read it to be. 18 The DIP agent and the required lenders, and Mr. Simon is 19 20 behind me, and this is a point that's been common. For the 21 interim period, we're reserving all our rights to say they 22 are adequately protected. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Page 38, this is a good faith finding. I don't 24 have a problem with the good faith finding, but the first 25

Page 60 1 question, are the rights that are being granted to the DIP 2 lenders under this paragraph, any greater than the rights 3 that are granted under 364(e)? MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, that's sort of an 4 5 interesting question, because if I say yes, you could just 6 put 364(e) in. 7 THE COURT: That's where we're going. MR. GALARDI: I know that's where you're going. 8 9 That's why I said it. I don't believe they are, but I've 10 seen a lot of DIP orders, and this is actually --11 THE COURT: That's why they're as long as they 12 are. 13 MR. GALARDI: That's exactly right. THE COURT: They're stuck in this, where all you 14 have to say is they'll have the rights under 364(e). 15 16 MR. GALARDI: And because of case law and because 17 of other people saying whatever that means, and there's 18 interpretations, that's why it gets expanded. 19 THE COURT: It's still whatever it means. 20 MR. GALARDI: I understand, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Why don't you say to the extent 22 consistent with Section 364. Now, the second lien lender 23 doesn't get any benefits under 364(e). It's not lending. And as I said, I don't know if it gets the benefit under 24 25 363(n). Just why maybe you're better off just having the

finding that they agree in good faith to let you use their cash collateral and worry about it at some future day, which will probably never come up.

MR. GALARDI: Exactly, Your Honor. So we will work with them to make some language adjustments on that and some good faith finding.

THE COURT: The question about the discharge rate on Page 39. The last letter says the Debtor shall not propose that it would plan or sale of the assets -- I'm paraphrasing. The entry of a confirmation letter -- a sales order that is not conditioned upon the repayment of all of the DIP obligations on the effective date of such plan of a reorganization of sale.

It's an unlikely event, but in the event that there is a dispute about the amount or whatever, how do you deal with that?

MR. GALARDI: Well, Your Honor, if there is a dispute, we're back to Your Honor as to whether those particular things -- whether it's the sale or those in the obligation. So if Your Honor rules that it's a payment in full, they would -- and I had a dispute with him, he would lose it. If it's that we consent, then we don't have an issue. And if I lose, then I'm going to have to pay more money.

THE COURT: I think that has to be decided before

Page 62 1 you can sell. I mean, the simple answer is if you have 2 enough money, you just escrow them --MR. GALARDI: Exactly. If there is a dispute, 3 4 that's right, if there's enough money. 5 THE COURT: All right. On page 41, the 552(b) 6 waiver -- all this other stuff, equities of the case, although that's a secured parties dispute. 506(c). 7 8 Equities are the case. 552(b), liens on avoidance claims --9 it's all subject to a finding ruling. Those are creditor 10 issues. 11 THE COURT: Paragraph 19. It says that -- on Page 12 41, it says that whatever, I guess the DIP lenders do under 13 this order, including enforcing their rights and remedies, 14 they're not control person or prop 4 owners of the property. 15 Right? 16 MR. GALARDI: Correct. 17 THE COURT: What happens if they foreclose on the 18 property? Don't they become owners? 19 MR. GALARDI: If they foreclose Your Honor, then 20 the argument would be not that they didn't do it. They 21 don't get it under this order. They did outside of this 22 order, because Your Honor --THE COURT: Well, that's exercising the right or 23 24 remedy under the order. And you think if they come in and 25 they start to decide who to pay on a day-to-day basis,

Page 63 1 they're not going to be questions of control? 2 MR. GALARDI: Yes, they can be, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. What I'm prepared to say is that entering into the agreement --4 5 MR. GALARDI: Right. 6 THE COURT: -- and advancing the funds, they're 7 not control persons. They're owners and operators. So, whatever happens in the future, happens in the future. I 8 9 can't permit that. 10 MR. GALARDI: Modify that to say by entry into the 11 agreement? 12 THE COURT: Just limit it to that. 13 MR. GALARDI: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: And on Page 43, we have objections of 14 15 the rule. It should be all objections to the interim 16 relief, not of the rule. The motion includes the request 17 for final approval, and we haven't heard those objections, 18 if any, yet. 19 Is there anything else on this order? 20 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, what I'd like to do, and 21 I apologize for having not done it, is we have black lines 22 of the things that have gotten negotiated. Maybe we can walk through those pretty quickly. I don't think the 23 24 subject --25 Sure. What I'll do is, I'll approve -THE COURT:

Page 64 1 - all right, let me take a look at these first. Are these 2 black lined what -- the negotiations with the U.S. Trustee 3 or are these -- ? MR. GALARDI: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, obviously, there is a 7 change in here that Your Honor has already addressed, so 8 whatever Your Honor has addressed, we'll try not to go back 9 on. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MR. GALARDI: Not that we would go back on it, but 12 we don't have go over it again here. 13 THE COURT: I know. MR. GALARDI: For example, there's enough static 14 15 (Laughter). I'm not going to try to do that. Your Honor, 16 there is obviously, in this black line -- I would just draw 17 your attention to some of the changes. 18 J on Page 13 -- the black line specifically says its consent to the interim order. We will modify the 19 20 business judgment issues that Your Honor has raised, the 364, so when we go through, I just want to make sure that 21 22 Your Honor is aware of other changes we have made. If 23 there's anything that catches my eye, I'm just going to go 24 quickly for Your Honor. 25 There is, Your Honor, just to draw your attention

-- obviously, Paragraph 3, which is the authorization to use cash collateral has to be --

THE COURT: What page are you on?

MR. GALARDI: 24 of the black line. It's really a clarification there about co-mingling, because you have a separate account, and no one is going to make an argument that because we co-mingled cash that therefore, they've lost their liens. That was what that paragraph addressed to make the other changes.

The adequate protection liens in Paragraph A on the next page, Your Honor, does define a diminution in value and what that means.

MR. GALARDI: I believe the change in Paragraph B on that same page is consistent with what Your Honor has said. We will re-read it, and obviously, the U.S. Trustee - we'll keep her in the loop and make sure that she agrees with all of these changes.

There is -- I would draw your attention to part of the adequate protection that we are giving to various parties, the second lien lender, is on Page 27. We have agreed to reimburse them, at least during the interim period, for their professional fees, Your Honor. We didn't agree to pay them their pre-petition, as it was part of the late minute discussions. We haven't agree to pay them a make-whole. That will be something that if we decide to do

Page 66 1 that, that will be something on the final. It will be put 2 into the budget. We agreed to an amount capped at \$50,000 3 for the interim period. THE COURT: Is there any objection to that? Okay. 4 5 MR. GALARDI: It makes clear, on Page 28, 6 Paragraph B, that they have the right to seek a modification 7 of the adequate protection. Again, belt and suspenders. I 8 think we had that, anyway. 9 Your Honor, we'll note, and this will not come 10 out, given what I think Your Honor -- if I'm right in the 11 right spot. On Page 33, right before the carve-out position 12 paragraph, I think this is where we said that you didn't get 13 standing. But now, we understand that that will be modified. We'll go this. One of the changes that --14 15 THE COURT: You need to say nothing in this 16 interim confers standing about any party of interest other 17 than the committee to --18 MR. GALARDI: Okay. Well, we can express that, 19 Your Honor. Thank you. 20 Your Honor, with respect to the carve-out 21 language, there was a sentence, and so we wanted to make it 22 clear it doesn't have a change. There is the typical carve-23 out, but what I believe is becoming more as typical. The carve-out with trigger date. You'll see right after the 24

black line, there is a paragraph -- there is a Sentence 34 -

- any unused retainer held by case professionals on the petition date shall be used to pay any allowed fees, in such case, professional before any payment.

That was supposed to, and there will be a change to that language that says after the trigger notice. If professionals have their retainers, they keep them until there is a default, and that would be the first flag to carve out. I believe those are the substantive changes (indiscernible).

Your Honor, I think in Paragraph 13 on Page 40, as I read it and as I understand this change, this is really just a way to say that this is a replacement lien, that the pre-petition second lien lenders have. So, I don't believe it's substantive, but I did want to point it out, and certainly, if Your Honor has an issue --

They have added on Page 42, and we think this is also correct -- on Page 42, there is the same language that you read with respect to the DIP lenders. We will modify it accordingly with the pre-petition lenders to make it that it is -- you know, the way in which you modified it. So, we'll just do a parallel change there.

Since they now put in on Page 45, a lot of the pre-petition collateral, pre-petition lenders, we'll have to go back and discuss that, take that 364 out. And I think that is it, Your Honor. Returnable on July 7th, Your Honor?

Page 68 1 That's fine. Does anyone else want to THE COURT: 2 be heard in connection with the proposed income financing 3 letter? The record should reflect there's no response. 4 5 What I'll do, because I don't know when you're going to get 6 me the final order and I'm going to be gone, or I may be 7 gone, is I will approve the interim order on the record as 8 modified on the record and by the blackline copy that you 9 gave me. I'll so order the record all subject to entry of a 10 written order, but you can proceed with the deal in 11 accordance with the documents. 12 MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate 13 it. Your Honor, that concludes the first part of the first 14 day. 15 (Discussion off the record) 16 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, your preference on an 17 objective deadline for matters on July 7th, given the 18 holiday. 19 MAN: July 5th? 20 THE COURT: Why don't we make it before the July 21 4th weekend, because nobody is going to work after that. 22 So, make it July 1st. MR. GALARDI: That's fine, Your Honor. And when 23 24 we have a committee, we'll obviously work with them if they 25 need any more time.

Page 69 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, would you like that same objection deadline for all of the interim relief? 3 THE COURT: Yes. And what I'd like you to do, 4 5 when you send me the clean copy of the findings, you're 6 going to send me a blacklined original. 7 MR. GALARDI: Right. Exactly. Okay. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. So, I think 10 what we would now turn to is the TRO and the status 11 conference, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. GALARDI: My colleague, Mr. Winograd, will handle it from our side. 14 15 MR. WINOGRAD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Мy 16 name is Michael Winograd from Ropes & Gray on behalf of the 17 Debtors. Your Honor, I think we're here primarily to set a 18 schedule for the pending motion for a preliminary junction 19 and/or extension of the automatic stay. 20 It's our understanding from the Court that the earliest date of a hearing for that motion would be 21 22 available is approximately July 7th. Debtors, Your Honor, are fine with that date, provided of course --23 24 THE COURT: I can hear on Friday, June 24th. 25 MR. WINOGRAD: I believe that presents a problem

for our -- for counsel, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, you're seeking -- putting aside
the TRO for a moment, you're seeking a preliminary
injunction against all the other parties. You're prepared
to wait until July?

MR. WINOGRAD: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WINOGRAD: With two exceptions, two issues that have arisen, Your Honor, between now -- with that timing. The first issue, Your Honor, is -- and both really relate to, I think what is a need for the Court to either confirm the scope of the TRO or otherwise, extend it.

The first issue involves a potential motion that we understand plaintiffs in the Bollea action in Florida intend to file imminently. On June 10th, Your Honor, just Friday, the TRO was issued. A hearing was set for today. The TRO enjoined Mr. Bollea from taking any steps to enforce or execute the judgment. Again, a hearing was set for today.

As a courtesy, the same day, I received a call from Mr. Bollea's counsel saying they were being engaged in this bankruptcy case, and they needed more time to respond.

As a courtesy, we of course agreed to the extension to June 24th. Just two days later, Your Honor, on that Monday, just the other day, Mr. Bollea's counsel in Florida went in and

emailed the Court and said, we want to keep open what's now an open date on July 6th for a hearing, because we anticipate filing a motion or motions in connection with Friday's TRO proceedings in the bankruptcy court.

Yesterday, I asked counsel for -- I asked Mr. Fisher if he could advise us as to what kind of motions those may be.

THE COURT: Motions in the Florida court?

MR. WINOGRAD: Yes. And how they would not violate the TRO. He said that they did not believe the motions would violate the TRO, but was not at liberty to explain them to us. This morning, we got a little bit of color. It appears that at least one of the motions may relate to an affidavit Mr. Denton filed in the Florida action on Thursday, June 9th.

But in all events, Your Honor, these types of motions -- and by the way, if you look at the 117 page docket sheet in Florida, it is littered with motion practice and motions by plaintiffs for contempt, motions for punitive damages. These types of motions -- not only is this motion problematic, we don't even know what it is yet. But we're concerned about floodgates opening up. And this is exactly the purpose of the bankruptcy proceedings.

THE COURT: Right now, there's a TRO. Let's start there.

Page 72 1 MR. WINOGRAD: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Is there an objection to the extension 3 of the TRO to the hearing date? MR. FISHER: Your Honor, Eric Fisher on behalf of 4 5 Mr. Bollea. No, there is not, Your Honor. And either the 6 June 24th or July 7th date would be acceptable to us, 7 provided we have a reasonable opportunity to take discovery 8 to prepare for an evidentiary hearing. 9 THE COURT: All right. So, what date are you talking about for the hearing? 10 11 MR. WINOGRAD: I believe we were talking about 12 July 7th, Your Honor, because June 24th does not --13 THE COURT: How long do you think all of this will 14 take? 15 MR. WINOGRAD: It's difficult to say, Your Honor, 16 but I would expect that we'll put on one, maybe two 17 witnesses. I don't know about the other side. 18 MR. FISHER: And Your Honor, if I had to estimate, I would think that we would cross examine two or three 19 20 witnesses. It could be that there's overlap with the 21 witnesses Mr. Winograd has already referred to. 22 THE COURT: I can put you on for July 7th, also at 2:00. I don't know if I'll be able to complete it. I guess 23 24 we can carry over to you know, the next day, which is a Friday, July 8th. I know it's during the summer and nobody 25

- wants to try a case on Friday, but unless you can agree to a further extension. And if you want to go to the next week, then I can give you a whole day starting at 10:00.
- MR. FISHER: Your Honor, again, from Mr. Bollea's point of view --

THE COURT: You're the only one I'm asking,
because you have a TRO, and technically, it expires in 10
days. As long as you're willing to continue it and the
Debtor doesn't have a problem with the other issue -- you
know, the other cases, then that's fine.

MR. FISHER: Your Honor, I think subject to the clarifications that Mr. Winograd is raising with Your Honor, we don't have a problem putting the hearing over to the following week and keeping the TRO in place.

THE COURT: All right. I can give you July 13th,
Wednesday and you can come in at 10:00 for the witnesses.

All right, now tell me about this -- you want to make a
motion in Florida, notwithstanding the automatic stay in the
TRO. So, tell me how you're going to do that.

MR. FISHER: Yes, Your Honor, and just a little bit of context, because we have not yet had an opportunity to be heard in connection with this matter. But the day that the Court entered the TRO here, there was a hearing in Florida in the morning that was attended by Mr. Bollea's lawyers in the Florida action, and then there was a

proceeding here ex parte without any notice to any of Mr. Bollea's lawyers.

And this will be developed more fully at the evidentiary hearing, Your Honor, but --

THE COURT: That's why I was willing to hear you today.

MR. FISHER: But what was told to the Court in connection -- at least in the written papers, including in Mr. Hogan's declaration in support of the TRO is simply not accurate. It is not an accurate description of what happened in Florida.

What happened in Florida is that on July 9th -- on June 9th, I'm sorry, Your Honor, the day before this bankruptcy filing, a motion was made by Gawker seeking a stay -- pending appeal and seeking relief from the bond requirement. And in connection with that motion, they offered to pledge their stock.

At the hearing that morning in Florida, Mr. Bollea accepted that offer and the Court ruled from the bench that she would be granting the stay on those terms, subject to certain conditions. And the judge in Florida, later that afternoon, was expecting a written order -- proposed written order reflecting her bench ruling that morning. And in the interim, there was an exparte proceeding here that resulted in the TRO.

In connection with the pledge of stock, all of Mr. Denton's stock in the Florida action, what was told to the Court or what was represented to the Court was that the stock was valuable. It was very clever, but also, very misleading. It made reference to expert reports that had been put in by Mr. Bollea much earlier in the case suggesting stock had an equity value of \$81 million.

And so, it was made to seem to the Court in

Florida that there was a pledge of something of great value
as a condition of staying execution, when we now know from
the papers that were filed here ex parte, the resolutions
had already been passed three days earlier to have this
bankruptcy proceeding commence.

So, we have serious questions about the timing. We have serious questions about the candor with which the state proceeding was handled in Florida. And all we're saying with regard to the TRO is of course, the TRO says what it says. And what it says -- and the Court was very careful to not enjoin the Bollea litigation, which is essentially what they're asking for now. They want to continue the Bollea litigation. They want to continue the appeal. But they essentially --

THE COURT: They'll need relief from the automatic stay for that.

MR. FISHER: They will, Your Honor. But they're

Page 76 1 essentially asking us not to take steps in the Florida 2 action that relate directly to the stay litigation and that 3 are not --4 THE COURT: So, what is it that you want to do in 5 the Florida action? 6 MR. FISHER: Your Honor, when I say what I'm going 7 to do, I should point out that --8 THE COURT: Are you saying that they were keeping 9 two options open? They already had the authority to file a 10 bankruptcy. They were also pursuing the option of pledging 11 the stock which does appear to be valuable, by the way, 12 since they have a \$90 million deal. And they decided, 13 finally, to file bankruptcy. What are you going to say? 14 MR. FISHER: Well, Your Honor, that's why -- well 15 really, what was said to the Florida court is for Judge 16 Campbell in Florida to decide. 17 THE COURT: Right. MR. FISHER: And of course, what was said to this 18 19 Court will be for Your Honor to decide at the evidentiary 20 hearing. Florida counsel and Mr. Bollea want the 21 opportunity to make motions that they believe they're 22 entitled to make. In particular, for example, motions for 23 sanctions that are not judgment enforcement motions. This Court has already provisionally --24 25 THE COURT: Are you going to make a motion for

Page 77 1 sanctions against the Debtor? 2 MR. FISHER: No, of course not, Your Honor. 3 Against Mr. Denton, who submitted the declaration pledging 4 his stock and make representations about its value. 5 THE COURT: Well, what is the misrepresentation 6 about the value? 7 MR. FISHER: He led the Court to believe that he 8 was pledging stock of great value in support of --9 THE COURT: And you don't think it's valuable? 10 MR. FISHER: Your Honor, it's a very preliminary 11 stage in the case, but I --12 THE COURT: Well, what percentage of the company 13 does he own? 14 MR. FISHER: Thirty percent, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Thirty percent, and that will be 16 (indiscernible). You know, the stock may not be valuable, 17 but the judgment (indiscernible) be insolvent after all is 18 said and done. But without the judgment, it sounds like 19 it's valuable. 20 MR. FISHER: Your Honor, I recognize how 21 complicated my issues are, and that I'm --22 (Simultaneous discussion) 23 THE COURT: You know what I recognize? You know 24 what I recognize? You should just stand down the litigation 25 for the time being. Because one of the things I want to ask

Page 78 the Trustee -- I'm sorry, the Debtor is how is all of this going to be resolved. Mr. Bollea has a \$140 million judgment. Nothing is going to change about that judgment in this Court. How are you going to deal with this issue? MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I think you've asked the question and actually had answered it before. What we intend to do is get the sale done, which is the purpose of standing down, and then the stay will be lifted, and that proceeding will proceed in Florida. There is no choice. THE COURT: Right. MR. GALARDI: It either has to proceed or the judgment gets paid. THE COURT: But what about other all of these other proceedings, because they sound like personal injury type actions? MR. GALARDI: Again, and Your Honor is very familiar with how you deal with those. They may file a personal claim. If they're personal injury, we don't have jurisdiction. If not -- but those are not at the same stage as this litigation was. THE COURT: Right. MR. GALARDI: In fact, to avoid stopping that litigation, we had to -- we let that one run until they got

the judgment, and that will -- and I've actually said to Mr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Fisher, let's talk about focusing not on the ancillary litigation and all that. Let's talk about getting that judgment through the appellate process on an expedited basis. That's what our goal is.

But again, Mr. Denton, right now, is involved in the sale process for the next 60 days. There's a lot going on with the company, which Your Honor understands. And we simply wanted to stay the activity there for that process. And we'll gladly speak to Mr. Fisher and counsel as to how to let Florida go forward.

THE COURT: Well, Florida is eventually going to go forward.

MR. GALARDI: Yes.

THE COURT: And you know, when I read all this, I thought that everybody should just stand down and let the sale go through, because that's in everybody's interest.

And then eventually, you're going to have to go back to Florida and fight out all these issues.

MR. FISHER: Your Honor, Mr. Bollea -- and I hope you'll note from the way we did not take a position on many of the motions today, is interested in giving Gawker the room that it needs to maximize value here. There just are -- and again, I think an evidentiary hearing is required to really get to the bottom of what was told to this Court, and whether Mr. Denton is really essential to the sale process,

Page 80 1 given that Houlihan Lokey has been involved in it since May. 2 There is a CRO. There is a president. Mr. Denton's name hasn't come up hardly at all during this --3 THE COURT: That's an issue for the trial. So, 4 5 your intention is to go back to Florida and make this motion 6 against Mr. Denton? 7 MR. FISHER: Yes, Your Honor. 8 MR. GALARDI: And Your Honor, if the Court is 9 going to set a hearing date --10 THE COURT: Are your witnesses available tomorrow? 11 MR. GALARDI: I don't know, but we could find out 12 in short order, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That's the way -- we're 13 going to have to start the trial tomorrow. All right? 14 15 MR. FISHER: Your Honor, may I have a moment to 16 confer with my co-counsel? 17 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't we take a recess? 18 MR. FISHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 (Recess) 20 CLERK: Please be seated. 21 THE COURT: All right. I've just had a 22 conversation in chambers. We have litigants involved in the 23 Florida action, and I'll ask you if you agree to this, but 24 my understanding is that the parties have agreed to a 25 complete standstill of all matters in the Florida litigation

Page 81 1 until July 13th, at which point we will take up whether that 2 standstill should end or should be extended or what else 3 will happen. Is that acceptable to the plaintiffs in the 4 Florida litigation? 5 MR. FISHER: Yes it is, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Is that acceptable to the defendants in the Florida litigation? I realize you don't represent 7 8 Mr. Denton, but --9 MR. GALARDI: Yes it is, Your Honor. We 10 understand it. 11 THE COURT: All right. Fine. Then I will so 12 order the record -- I guess it's a modification on consent 13 to the TRO so it's clear. I'll see you on the 13th. 14 MR. FISHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, one housekeeping measure 16 that --17 THE COURT: I'll see you on the 7th, then. Yes? MR. GALARDI: The 27th was the date Your Honor 18 19 scheduled for a bid procedures hearing. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. GALARDI: And we have a committee formation on 22 the 24th. We were going to ask Your Honor a preference for 23 an objection deadline for that bid procedure --THE COURT: I'll hear objections orally. I assume 24 25 it's (indiscernible) bid procedures.

Page 82 1 MR. GALARDI: I believe it's plain vanilla. So, 2 if it's orally -- is it possible for anyone other than the 3 committee to just set the June 24th and honor -- if they have a problem, contact us? We're not going to -- we won't 4 5 hang anybody up to dry, but we wouldn't -- we would like to 6 know if there an objection from particular parties as we 7 come into the hearing. If that would be appropriate, we --8 I don't think I've ever raised --9 THE COURT: Well, I can set an objection deadline 10 of 9:00 a.m. on Monday morning, so you might come 11 (indiscernible). 12 MR. TORKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael 13 Torkin, Sullivan & Cromwell on behalf of (indiscernible). 14 The only unusual aspect --15 THE COURT: You've been here before. I remember 16 (indiscernible) --17 MR. TORKIN: Thank you for recognizing me, Your 18 Honor. The only unusual piece is it is a pre-petition and 19 20 briefing. We're asking the Debtors to assume that 21 obviously, subject to Your Honor ultimately approving a 22 sale. So, it's a little bit more to it, given all the 23 interesting constituencies in this case. It would be better off -- at least I understand 24 25 from the committee, it would be good by the 24th if there's

Page 83 1 someone other than the committee. If they would raise 2 objections, obviously, in the committee -- I understand 3 (indiscernible) --4 THE COURT: Let me see if I can get another judge 5 to deal with this, because I'll be out of town. And then, 6 you can have (indiscernible) a couple days later in the 7 week, and that will give you a little bit more time. 8 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, that be wonderful for my 9 schedule. As long as it's before July 1st, then --10 THE COURT: Let me see what I can do. Let's hold 11 onto that June 27th date. Committee's response --12 objections, if any, are the 9th. Is the motion filed? 13 MR. GALARDI: The motion has been filed, Your 14 Honor, yes. 15 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we say that any 16 other objections, I'll give you seven days before, which 17 will be the 27th. Have you served the motion yet? 18 MR. GALARDI: Well, we have served it out, along 19 with the other motions. We have, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right, so just serve the notice --21 file the notice on ECF and serve a notice that if they have 22 any objections -- assuming if there are any objections, are 23 due by let's say 4 or 5 p.m. on June 20th. 24 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I may be the only one 25 (indiscernible) in the courtroom. Are we going to go

	Pg 84 01 88
	Page 84
1	forward on the 27th, or are you going to look for another
2	date.
3	THE COURT: Well, let me see if I can find another
4	judge. Does that schedule work for you?
5	MR. TORKIN: The 27th would work.
6	THE COURT: All right. Knowing that you may not
7	get an objection from the committee until
8	MR. TORKIN: If we have an issue with the
9	committee, we'll deal with the committee and adjourn the
10	hearing.
11	THE COURT: All right, so why don't you
12	MR. TORKIN: We'll manage the process.
13	THE COURT: All right. Submit a scheduling order
14	today
15	MR. GALARDI: Okay.
16	THE COURT: that provides for any objections to
17	the proposed sale procedures are due by June 20th, so
18	they're received by June 20th, 5 p.m. The committee has
19	until 9 a.m. on June 27th.
20	MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right?
22	MR. GALARDI: Thank you. That now adjourns the
23	matter.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. GALARDI: Thank you very much.

Page 85 THE COURT: Thank you. Good luck. MR. FISHER: Thanks very much. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:56 PM) 

	Pg 86 01 88		
			Page 86
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Debtor's Motion for an Order (I) Granting	19	21
6	a Waiver of the Requirements of Local Rule		
7	1007-2(a), (b), and (c), and (11) Granting		
8	an Extension of Time to File Lists Required		
9	by Bankruptcy Rule 1007.		
10			
11	Debtors' Motion for Joint	19	14
12	Administration.		
13			
14	Debtor's Motion for Entry		
15	of an Order Establishing Certain Notice,		
16	Case Management, and Administrative		
17	Procedures and Omnibus Hearing Dates.		
18			
19	Debtor's Motion for Entry	27	14
20	of Interim and Final Orders Authorizing		
21	the Debtors to Continue Using the Debtors		
22	Bank Accounts, Business Forms, and Cash		
23	Management System, and Granting Related		
24	Relief.		
25			

	1 g 07 01 00	Page 87	
1	Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim	39	13
2	and Final Orders (I) Authorizing, but		
3	not Directing, Payment Of Prepetition		
4	Wages, Salaries, Business Expenses,		
5	Employee Benefits and Related Items,		
6	and (11) Directing All Financial		
7	Institutions to Honor Checks for		
8	Payment of Such Obligations.		
9			
10	Debtor's Motion for Entry of Interim	41	2
11	and Final Orders Authorizing Payment		
12	of Income Taxes, Property Taxes, Sales		
13	and Use Taxes and Hungarian Taxes.		
14			
15	Insurance Motion Approved	46	21
16	on an Interim Basis		
17			
18	Approve the Interim Order	68	7
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 88 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Ledanski Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o=Veritext, 6 ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2016.06.16 10:49:18 -04'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 June 16, 2016 Date: